



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/674,763

09/29/2003

Nobuhiro Kuwamura

16869G-087800US

4461

20350

7590

09/11/2006

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER

THOMAS, SHANE M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2186

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/674,763	KUWAMURA, NOBUHIRO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shane M. Thomas	2186	

All Participants:

(1) Shane M. Thomas

(2) Chun-Pok Leung (Reg. No. 41,405)
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 31 August 2006
Time: 2:00pm EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Obvious Type Double Patenting
Claims discussed:
Claim 11 of present application; claim 9 of 10/666,000
Prior art documents discussed:
Zaitzu (Application No. 10/666,000)
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner initiated interview to notify Applicant of the existence of new prior art reference Zaitso (different inventive entity but same Assignee of record). The Examiner stated that an obvious-type double patenting rejection is being contemplated by the Examiner and that a Non-final Office action on the merits could be filed containing the rejection therein; or, to expedite prosecution, the Examiner suggested cancellation of claim 11 or, alternatively, the filing of a Terminal Disclaimer to place the present application in condition for allowance. Mr. Leung concurred with the decision to file a Terminal Disclaimer and would begin the process for submission.